CIVIL COVER SHEET JS 44 (Rev. 06/17) by bontained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as solved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the STRUCTIONS ON NEXT RAGE OF THIS FORM.) The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the life provided by local rules of court purpose of initiating the civilian I. (a) PLAINTIFFS **DEFENDANTS** JONATHAN HICKS BUCKS COUNTY CORRECTIONAL FACILITY and COUNTY OF BUCKS (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff. Montgomery Bucks County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
David M. Koller, Esq., Koller Law LLC, 2043 Locust Street, Suite 1-B, Attorneys (If Known) Philadelphia, PA 19103, 215-545-8917 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) S. Government 3 Federal Ouestion DEF PTF DEF Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) Citizen of This State I Incorporated or Principal Place **1** 4 **4 O** 1 of Business In This State U.S. Government Diversity Citizen of Another State \square 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place **7** 5 **5** Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) of Business In Another State Citizen or Subject of a 3 Foreign Nation **5** 6 **5** 6 3 Foreign Country NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions FORFEITURE/PENALTY OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT TORTS BANKRUPTCY ☐ 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 625 Drug Related Seizure 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 375 False Claims Act ☐ 120 Marine 310 Airplane ☐ 365 Personal Injury of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 376 Qui Tam (31 USC) 690 Other 130 Miller Act 315 Airplane Product Product Liability 28 USC 157 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability 367 Health Care/ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutical PROPERTY RIGHTS 410 Antitrust & Enforcement of Judgment Slander Personal Injury ■ 820 Copyrights 430 Banks and Banking 151 Medicare Act 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability 450 Commerce 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability 368 Asbestos Personal 3 835 Patent - Abbreviated 460 Deportation Student Loans ☐ 340 Marine Injury Product New Drug Application 470 Racketeer Influenced and ☐ 840 Trademark (Excludes Veterans) 345 Marine Product Liability Corrupt Organizations PERSONAL PROPERTY SOCIAL SECURITY 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment Liability LABOR of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fraud 710 Fair Labor Standards ■ 861 HIA (1395ff) 490 Cable/Sat TV 862 Black Lung (923) 160 Stockholders' Suits 355 Motor Vehicle 371 Truth in Lending Act 850 Securities/Commodities/ ■ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 190 Other Contract Product Liability 380 Other Personal ☐ 720 Labor/Management Exchange ■ 890 Other Statutory Actions 195 Contract Product Liability 360 Other Personal Property Damage Relations ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) ■ 196 Franchise Injury 385 Property Damage 740 Railway Labor Act ■ 891 Agricultural Acts 362 Personal Injury -Product Liability 751 Family and Medical ☐ 893 Environmental Matters Medical Malpractice Leave Act 895 Freedom of Information REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS 790 Other Labor Litigation FEDERAL TAX SUITS Act ☐ 210 Land Condemnation 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus: ☐ 791 Employee Retirement ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff) 896 Arbitration o 44 or Defendant) ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure ☐ 220 Foreclosure Voting 463 Alien Detainee Income Security Act IRS—Third Party Act/Review or Appeal of 510 Motions to Vacate 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment X 44 Employment 26 USC 7609 Sentence Agency Decision 240 Torts to Land 44 Housing/ Accommodations 950 Constitutionality of ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 530 General Amer, w/Disabilities IMMIGRATION State Statutes 290 All Other Real Property 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other Employment 462 Naturalization Application ☐ 465 Other Immigration 46 Amer, w/Disabilities 550 Civil Rights Other Actions 448 Education 555 Prison Condition Conditions of Confinement ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Remanded from 4 Reinstated or ☐ 6 Multidistrict ☐ 8 Multidistrict Original 2 Removed from \square 3 5 Transferred from Appellate Court State Court Litigation -Litigation -Proceeding Reopened Another District Direct File Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): Title VII **CAUSE OF ACTION** Brief description of cause: VII. REQUESTED IN DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. **COMPLAINT:** JURY DEMAND: Yes

VIII. RELATED CASE(S)

IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

07/12/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

DATE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

RECEIPT # AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRESS PARTINGS VANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be assignment to appropriate calonials	e used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 450 Forcest Ave. Apt. F20	DO Norristano PA 19401
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 1730 S. Easton Rd, Doyles (Use Reverse Side For Ac	lestown, PA; 50 North Main St, town, PA 18901 Doylestown, PA 1890
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation an	d any publicly held comparation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes No
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No□
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	D. T. Comb
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	/
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior su	Yes□ No□ it pending or within one year previously terminated
action in this court?	
 Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier nu 	Yes No V
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No□
	·
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	case filed by the same individual?
	Yes□ No ✓
CIVIL: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5 Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. 🗆 Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. to civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. A Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CERTII	FICATION
, David M. Koller, counsel of record do hereby certify:	egory)
Solution Pulsuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and be 150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	elief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 7/12/17 David M. Koller	90119
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A mar de novo win de a mai dy jury only it mere	has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. JUL 12 2017
certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or w	ithin one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	2-110
DATE: 7/12/17 David M. Kolles	- 90119

Attorney-at-Law

Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

	Telephone	FAX Number	E-Ma	il Address		
	215-545-8917	215-575-082	to david	K@ Koller	lawfirm.com	
	7/12/17 Date	David M. Kolle Attorney-at-law	er Plan Attor	n+,ff ney for		
(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.						
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)						
	(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ing claims for personal injur	y or property dama	age from	()	
	(c) Arbitration – Cases requi	ired to be designated for arb	itration under Loca	al Civil Rule 53.2	2. ()	
	(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.					
	(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases b	brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2	241 through § 225	5.	()	
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:						
(J	Jucks County of In accordance with the Civi plaintiff shall complete a Car filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s the plaintiff and all other par to which that defendant belief	I Justice Expense and Delay se Management Track Design a copy on all defendants. (Sevent that a defendant does shall, with its first appearance ties, a Case Management Track.)	Reduction Plan of the cleek Designation Frack Designation F	civil cases at the an set forth on the plaintiff regard erk of court and	e time of e reverse ing said serve on	
	Jonathan Hick			CIVIL ACTION		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

KOLLER LAW LLC
David M. Koller, Esquire
ATTY ID No. 90119
2043 Locust Street, Suite 1B

Attorney for Plaintiff

T: (215) 545-8917 F: (215) 575-0826

Philadelphia, PA 19103

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

:

JONATHAN HICKS

450 Forrest Avenue, Apt. F200

Norristown, PA 19401

Civil Action No.

Plaintiff,

v.

Complaint and Jury Demand

1/7/

BUCKS COUNTY CORRECTIONAL

FACILITY AND COUNTY OF BUCKS 1730 South Easton Road, Doylestown, PA

18901 and 50 North Main Street, Doylestown, PA:

18901

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION

:

Plaintiff Jonathan Hicks, by and through his attorney, bring this civil matter against Defendants Bucks County Correctional Facility and the County of Bucks, alleging he was subject to violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII") and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"), and avers and alleges as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. The allegations contained in the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint are incorporated by reference herein as if the same were set forth at length.

- 2. Plaintiff is a Caucasian male born in 1981 who, starting in 2006, became a practicing Muslim.
- 3. Plaintiff resides at the above captioned address.
- Plaintiff worked for the County of Bucks at Defendant Bucks County Correctional Facility located at 1730 South Easton Road, Doylestown, PA 18901.
- 5. Plaintiff was employed by Defendants from January 22, 2008 until July 13, 2013.
- 6. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants acted by and through their agents, servants, and employees, each of whom acted within the scope of his or her job responsibilities.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein as if the same were set forth at length.
- 8. The Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendant because the Defendant's contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction and comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, thus satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in International Shoe Co. v. Washington, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 9. The Court may exercise original subject-matter jurisdiction over the instant action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a)(4) because it arises under the laws of the United States and seeks redress for violations of federal law.
- 10. The Court may also maintain supplemental jurisdiction over state law claims set forth herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) and Rule 18(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because they are sufficiently related to one or more claims within the Court's original jurisdiction that they form part of the same case or controversy.

11. Venue is properly laid in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1) and 1391(b)(2) because the Defendant is located in this judicial district and because all of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district.

EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES

- 12. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein as if the same were set forth at length.
- Plaintiff exhausted his administrative remedies under Title VII. <u>Butterbaugh v. Chertoff</u>, 479
 F. Supp. 2d 485 (W.D. Pa. 2007).
- 14. On or around January 4, 2013, Plaintiff filed a timely written Charge of Discrimination (the "Charge") with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission alleging religious discrimination, disability discrimination, and retaliation against Defendant.
- 15. The Charge was assigned a Charge Number of EEOC No. 17F201360569 and was duly filed with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission ("PHRC") with a Complaint Number of 201202926.
- 16. Plaintiff received a Notice of Right to Sue relative to the Charge, by mail, dated April 10, 2017.
- 17. Plaintiff files the instant Complaint within ninety (90) days of his receipt of the Notice of Right to Sue letter relative the Charge.
- 18. Prior to the filing of this action, Plaintiff notified the EEOC of his intent to proceed with a lawsuit in federal court.

FACTUAL SUMMARY

19. The allegations contained in the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint are incorporated by reference herein as if the same were set forth at length.

DEFENDANTS DID NOT KNOW PLAINTIFF'S RELIGION WHEN HE WAS HIRED

- 20. Plaintiff is a Caucasian male.
- 21. Plaintiff converted to the religion of Islam in or around 2006.
- 22. On January 22, 2008, Defendants hired Plaintiff as a full time Corrections Officer for the location in Doylestown, Pennsylvania.
- 23. Besides Plaintiff, at the time Plaintiff worked at Defendants' Doylestown location, there was only one other Muslim employee.
- 24. At the time that Defendants hired Plaintiff, Defendants were not aware of Plaintiff's deeply held religious beliefs or his religion.
- 25. At the time that Defendants hired Plaintiff, Defendants were not aware that Plaintiff was a practicing Muslim.

DEFENDANTS ISSUED PLAINTIFF A DISCIPLINE FOR HIS FACIAL HAIR

- 26. Plaintiff wears a beard consistent with his deeply held religious beliefs.
- 27. On or around July 12, 2011, Sgt. Lynn met with Plaintiff in his office and issued Plaintiff a write-up for failure to comply with Defendants dress code policy requiring facial hair to be trimmed.
- 28. Specifically, Sgt. Lynn advised that the violation pertained to Plaintiff's facial hair, and Plaintiff specifically told Sgt. Lynn at that meeting that he cannot and will not cut his facial hair due to his deeply held religious beliefs.
- 29. Sgt. Lynn's response to Plaintiff was that he told Plaintiff that it was Plaintiff's discretion but

- that Plaintiff should not count on holding a job with Defendants for much longer.
- 30. The next morning, Lt. Landis called Plaintiff into his office and reviewed the write-up issued the previous day by Sgt. Lynn.
- 31. Lt Landis then proceeded to ask Plaintiff why he does not cut his facial hair.
- 32. Plaintiff explained to Lt. Landis that the reason he does not cut his facial hair is because of his religion.
- 33. Lt. Landis responded by advising Plaintiff that he is not going to hide behind that (meaning to Plaintiff a reference to religion) and telling Plaintiff that no religion prevents you from shaving.
- 34. Plaintiff, at that point, walked out of the meeting.

DEFENDANTS HARASSED PLAINTIFF BECAUSE OF HIS RELIGION

- 35. From that point forward, Plaintiff was harassed, ridiculed, and retaliated against at work.
- 36. The ridicule included, but was not limited to, comments made to Plaintiff by Lieutenants and others that he was a "terrorist" and that he looked like a "black Abraham Lincoln."
- 37. Lt Pendergrass placed bacon in front of Plaintiff on at least one occasion and ridiculed Plaintiff because Plaintiff did not eat pork
- 38. Lt. Pendergrass told Plaintiff that Plaintiff has to eat pork and threatened to hold Plaintiff down and force the pork into his mouth for him to eat it. He also punched Plaintiff.
- 39. Upon information and belief, Lt. Pendergrass had a prior disciplinary history involving similar conduct in the past.
- 40. Other employees heard conversations between management about Plaintiff and efforts they were going to undertake to terminate Plaintiff.

PLAINTIFF COMPLAINED ABOUT GROOMING POLICY TO CAPTAIN DURNING

- 41. On February 27, 2012, Plaintiff submitted a written complaint to Captain Durning complaining about the application of Defendants' Grooming Policy to him.
- 42. Specifically, Plaintiff wrote that "...please allow me the ability to observe this religious right."
- 43. On March 28, 2012, Lt. Pendergrass called Plaintiff "black" and said that Plaintiff looked like Abraham Lincoln. The aforementioned comments and actions about pork occurred subsequently.
- 44. On April 24, 2013, Plaintiff was moved from his bidded position on the floor into a different, less desired position, and another Correction Officer told me that he overheard Lt. Landis say "Hide the beard."
- 45. The order to move me into a different position came from Lt. Pendergrass and Lt. Landis.
- 46. Plaintiff complained to Defendants' Human Resources Department formally on to occasions, and submitted numerous memos documenting incidents to Human Resource Department as he was experiencing them.
- 47. Human Resource Department did not take adequate prompt corrective action.
- 48. Ultimately, Plaintiff's employment terminated on July 13, 2013 when Plaintiff could not tolerate being a target any longer and so quit.
- 49. Plaintiff filed a grievance but nothing came out of it.
- 50. Other employees who were not Muslim wore beards as long or longer than Plaintiff and were not treated unfavorably or the same as Plaintiff.

COUNT I - RELIGIOUS DISCRIMINATION (HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT) TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED

51. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if

- the same were set forth more fully at length herein.
- 52. Plaintiff is a member of protected classes in that he is Muslim.
- 53. Plaintiff was qualified to perform the job for which he was hired.
- 54. Plaintiff suffered intentional discrimination because of his religion.
- 55. Defendant subjected Plaintiff to unwelcome conduct of a religious nature that was severe and pervasive
- 56. The discrimination detrimentally affected Plaintiff.
- 57. Plaintiff suffered adverse job actions, including, but not limited to job reassignments, different locations, write-ups, constructive discharge, and termination.
- 58. Similarly situated people outside of Plaintiff's protected class were treated more favorably than Plaintiff.
- 59. Circumstances exist related to the above cited adverse employment actions that give rise to an inference of discrimination.
- 60. Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of religion.
- 61. No legitimate, non-discriminatory reasons exist for the above cited adverse employment actions that Plaintiff suffered.
- 62. The reasons cited by Defendant for the above cited adverse employment actions that Plaintiff suffered are pretext for discrimination.
- 63. Respondent superior liability exists such that Defendant is strictly liable for the actions of its supervisors.
- 64. Defendant is not entitled to an affirmative defense.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, *infra*.

COUNT II – RELIGOUS DISCRIMINATION (HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT) THE PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT

- 65. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if the same were set forth more fully at length herein.
- 66. The foregoing conduct by Defendants constitutes unlawful discrimination against Plaintiff on the basis of his religion (Islam).
- 67. As a result of Defendants' unlawful race discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered damages as set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, *infra*.

COUNT III – RETALIATION TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED

- 55. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if the same were set forth more fully at length herein.
- 56. Plaintiff engaged in activity protected by Title VII.
- 57. Plaintiff complained of discrimination internally by reporting the same.
- 58. Thereafter, Defendant took adverse employment actions against Plaintiff, including, but not limited to, disciplines, ridicule, harassment, and ultimately leading to his constructive discharge.
- 59. There exists a causal connection between Plaintiff's participation of the protected activity and the adverse employment action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this

COUNT IV – RETALIATION THE PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT

- 67. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if the same were set forth more fully at length herein.
- 68. Plaintiff engaged in activity protected by Title VII.
- 69. Plaintiff complained of discrimination internally by reporting the same.
- 70. Thereafter, Defendant took adverse employment actions against Plaintiff, including, but not limited to, disciplines, ridicule, harassment, and ultimately leading to his constructive discharge.
- 71. There exists a causal connection between Plaintiff's participation of the protected activity and the adverse employment action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, *infra*.

COUNT V - DISPARATE IMPACT UNDER TITLE VII

- 72. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if the same were set forth more fully at length herein.
- 73. Defendants maintain policies, including but not limited to policies on grooming and dress codes, that has a disparate impact on members of certain protected classes, including those who practice certain religions like Plaintiff.
- 74. Maintaining such a policy and failing to accommodate Plaintiff for no legitimate reason is in violation of the law.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, *infra*.

COUNT VI - DISPARATE IMPACT UNDER PHRA

- 72. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if the same were set forth more fully at length herein.
- 73. Defendants maintain policies, including but not limited to policies on grooming and dress codes, that has a disparate impact on members of certain protected classes, including those who practice certain religions like Plaintiff.
- 74. Maintaining such a policy and failing to accommodate Plaintiff for no legitimate reason is in violation of the law.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, *infra*.

COUNT VII - FAILURE TO ACCOMMODATE RELIGION UNDER TITLE VII

- 72. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if the same were set forth more fully at length herein.
- 73. Plaintiff requested a reasonable accommodation for his deeply held religious beliefs.
- 74. For no legitimate, non-discriminatory reason, Defendants refused to accommodate Plaintiff and retaliated against him for seeking and requesting and making such an accommodation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, *infra*.

COUNT VIII - FAILURE TO ACCOMMODATE RELIGION UNDER PHRA

72. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs

as if the same were set forth more fully at length herein.

- 73. Plaintiff requested a reasonable accommodation for his deeply held religious beliefs.
- 74. For no legitimate, non-discriminatory reason, Defendants refused to accommodate Plaintiff and retaliated against him for seeking and requesting and making such an accommodation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, *infra*.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jonathan Hicks, requests that the Court grant him the following relief against Defendant:

- (a) Damages for past and future monetary losses as a result of Defendant unlawful discrimination;
- (b) Compensatory damages;
- (c) Punitive damages;
- (d) Liquidated damages;
- (e) Emotional pain and suffering;
- (f) Reasonable attorneys' fees;
- (g) Recoverable costs;
- (h) Pre and post judgment interest;
- (i) An allowance to compensate for negative tax consequences;
- (j) A permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its directors, officers, employees, agents, successors, heirs and assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from engaging in, ratifying, or refusing to correct, employment practices which discriminate in violation of TITLE VII and the

PHRA.

(k) Order Defendant to remove and expunge, or to cause to be removed and expunged, all negative, discriminatory, and/or defamatory memoranda and documentation from Plaintiff's record of employment, including, but not limited,

the pre-textual reasons cited for her adverse actions, disciplines, and termination;

and

Date: July 12, 2017

(l) Awarding extraordinary, equitable and/or injunctive relief as permitted by law, equity and the federal statutory provisions sued hereunder, pursuant to Rules 64

and 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Demand is hereby made for a trial by jury as to all issues.

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the above matter in

controversy is not the subject of any other action pending in any court or of a pending arbitration

proceeding, nor at the present time any other action or arbitration proceeding contemplated.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

KOLLER LAW LLC

By: David M. Kaller David M. Koller, Esquire - 90119

2043 Locust Street, Suite 1B

Philadelphia, PA 19103

T: (215)-545-8917

F: (215)-575-0826

Counsel for Plaintiff

12